MAR GREGORIOS COLLEGE OF LAW

MAR IVANIOS VIDYANAGAR, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM

AFFILIATED TO THE UNIVERSITY OF KERALA & APPROVED BY THE BAR COUNCIL OF INDIA

FIRST NAAC ACCREDITED LAW COLLEGE IN KERALA



4th

MAR GREGORIOS MOOT COURT COMPETITION, 2027

14th, 15th & 16th January 2027

SCC ONLINE

SCC ONLINE TIMES

smalegal LEGAL ADVISORY SERVICES

ABOUT THE INSTITUTION

Nestled amid the picturesque environs of Mar Ivanios Vidya Nagar, the inclusive yet vibrant Mar Gregorios College of Law aspires to be a wellspring of academic excellence in legal education. Although our institution was established recently in 2012, we strive to build upon the proud legacy of this campus, which was founded in 1949 by the esteemed Archbishop Geevarghese Mar Ivanios. The institution was conceived and made a reality by His Beatitude Moran Mor Baselios Cardinal Cleemis Catholicos, the head of the Malankara Catholic Church. It is our privilege to be named after Archbishop Benedict Mar Gregorios, a profound humanist, accomplished linguist, economist, and educationalist, whose spirit of love and compassion we seek to embody.

Since our inception more than a decade ago, we have diligently evolved into a centre of educational excellence, attaining the distinction of being Kerala's first NAAC-accredited law college. We offer five-year integrated B.A. LL.B., B.B.A., LL.B., and B.Com. LL.B. courses at the undergraduate level, and a specialized LL.M. in Constitutional Law and Maritime Law at the postgraduate level.

Though we have been honoured with accolades such as the SILF-MILAT National Institutional Excellence Award, our true reward is shaping bright legal minds and contributing meaningfully to the field of law. Beyond academics, we strive to spark creativity and inquiry across diverse realms, providing a holistic educational experience.

ABOUT THE MOOT COURT SOCIETY

The Moot Court Society of Mar Gregorios College of Law is a dedicated body of students and faculty, aiming to enhance the advocacy and legal research skills of the students by promoting participation in Mooting, Client Counselling, ADR, and Trial Advocacy Competitions. The Moot Court Society is steered by the Internal Competitions Committee, the External Competitions Committee, the Training Committee, and the Technical Committee, the functioning of which is further supervised by the Core Committee.

The Moot Court Society was at the helm of the Commonwealth Legal Education Association Mooting Competition (South Asian Rounds) in 2022, successfully hosted by our institution. Additionally, the Society annually conducts External, Internal, and Novice Mooting Competitions. In order to promote a mooting culture, the Society regularly organizes various training sessions and demonstrative workshops that assist the student body in getting accustomed to the nuances of mooting. Under the aegis of the Society, members have secured various accolades in National and International Moot Court Competitions across the country

ABOUT THE COMPETITION

We conducted the very first edition of the Mar Gregorios National Moot Court Competition from 25th to 27th January 2024. The problem was about the constitutional and legal rights of the LGBTQIA+ community in a domestic situation. Three Honourable judges of the High Court of Keralam chaired the Finals.

The 2nd edition was conducted from 9th to 11th January 2025 in a hybrid format, with the legal problem pertaining to the new criminal laws and constitutional law. The Competition was officially inaugurated by the former Chief Justice of India, Justice U.U. Lalit, followed by a special session.

The 3rd edition was conducted from 22nd to 24th January 2026. The competition marked a historic milestone in Kerala's mooting history, with the Final Round being adjudicated by four Hon'ble Judges of the High Court of Keralam: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ziyad Rahman A.A., Hon'ble Mr. Justice Kauser Edappagath, Hon'ble Mr. Justice Basant Balaji, and Hon'ble Mr. Justice C. Jayachandran.

As we prepare for the 4th edition of the Mar Gregorios Moot Court Competition, we look forward to welcoming bright legal minds from across the country. This year's edition will present a challenging and relevant legal problem designed to test knowledge, reasoning, and advocacy skills. With esteemed judges and an engaging environment, the competition promises a valuable learning experience for all participants.

We invite you to be part of this journey of legal excellence, spirited debate, and the pursuit of justice.

IMPORTANT DATES

Last date for preliminary registration

20th

November 2026

Last date for requesting for clarification

22nd

November 2026

Release of Clarification

25th

November 2026

Submission of Soft Copy of Memorial

11th

December 2026

Submission of Hard Copy of Memorial

15th

December 2026

Announcement of qualifying team

18th

December 2026

Last date for final registration and payment

31st

December 2026

Date for reporting for competition

14th

January 2027

Dates of competition

15th - 16th

January 2027

RULES AND REGULATIONS

ARTICLE 1: REGISTRATION

The registration is done in two phases, i.e., Preliminary registration and Final registration.

A. Preliminary Registration

The Preliminary Registration shall be completed on or before November 20, 2026, using the Google Form provided below

https://docs.google.com/forms/d/e/1FAIpQLSeyecytN-5052CWylDJak0IO1ZqYXNUNsnrpT8UxQs1cqn6KQ/viewformusp=dialog

B. Final Registration

After the declaration of the result of Memorial Selection Rounds, selected teams will complete the Final Registration on or before December 31, 2026, which will be intimated to the qualifying teams via email.

ARTICLE 2: REGISTRATION FEES

A. Preliminary Registration

Teams shall pay an amount of INR 2000 for the Preliminary Registration. The receipt of the same is required to complete the registration through Google Form. Teams shall pay the amount towards the registration fee through the following link:

https://feebook.southindianbank.bank.in/FeeBookUser/userhome

The following particulars, among others, are required for the Preliminary Registration:

(a) Details of the Participating Institution

(b) Details of the Team members

(c) Receipt of Payment

Upon Preliminary Registration, each team will be given a Team Code, which shall thereafter be used throughout the competition.

B. Final Registration

1. The selected teams shall pay an amount of INR 4000 for the Final Registration on or before 31st December 2026.. The receipt of the same is required to complete the registration through the Google Form, which shall be intimated to the qualifying teams via email.

ARTICLE 3: TEAM COMPOSITION AND ELIGIBILITY.

1. Students pursuing the three- or five-year courses of the LL.B. degree or its equivalent in the academic year 2026-27 from a law school/college/University recognized by the Bar Council of India are eligible to participate.
2. Each college shall send only one (1) team comprising of eligible participants.
3. Each team shall comprise a minimum of two and a maximum of three members. In a team of two members, both members shall be designated as Speakers. In a team of three members, two members shall be designated as Speakers and the third member of shall be a Researcher.
4. No additional member or team coach is allowed to accompany the team.

ARTICLE 4: SELECTION ROUNDS.

A. First Round of Selection (Memorial Selection Round):

1.There shall be a Memorial Selection Round following the submission and evaluation of the Memorials.
2.The top sixteen (16) teams shall be selected on the basis of their Memorial scores and shall qualify for the Oral Rounds of the Competition.

The Organizing Committee reserves the discretion to increase the number of qualifying teams for the Oral Rounds if deemed necessary.

3. The results of the Memorial Selection Round will be published, and all participating teams will be duly notified of the same on 18th December 2026.

B. Second Round of Selection (Oral Rounds):

1. The Oral Rounds of the Competition shall be held physically at Mar Gregorios College of Law, Thiruvananthapuram, over a period of three days from January 14th to 16th, 2027.
2. The Competition shall consist of four sets of rounds: the Preliminary Rounds, the Quarter-final Rounds, the Semi-final Rounds, and the Final Round.
3. The criteria for selection from the Preliminary Rounds will be based on league-cum-win method, and the remaining advanced rounds, namely the Quarter-final Rounds, the Semi-final Rounds, and the Final Round, will be knock-out rounds.
4. Memorial scores will not be considered for the Oral Rounds.
5. Before the commencement of an oral session, each team shall indicate to the Court Officers the division of time between the first and the second speaker and the time allotted for rebuttals and sur-rebuttals.
6. The decision of the judges on any procedural or factual dispute will be final. Time extensions may be given in every round at the discretion of the Judges.
7. Issues not addressed in the primary pleadings shall not be raised in the rebuttals or sur-rebuttals

ARTICLE 5: MEMORIALS

1. Each Team registered through Preliminary Registration shall submit a soft copy of the memorials, i.e., one for the Petitioner side and one for the Respondent side, in both .pdf and .docx formats on or before December 11th, 2026, 11.59 PM IST via: E-mail: mgclmoot2027@mgcl.ac.in.

2. No change to the memorials shall be permitted thereafter.

3. Additionally, each team shall submit three (3) hard copies of the memorials by post on or before December 15th, 2026, to the address given below:

Mar Gregorios College of Law,
Mar Ivanios Vidyanagar, Nalanchira,
Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, India, 695015

4. After the assessment of the memorials, the list of selected memorials shall be published on December 18th, 2026

5.Format of Memorials:

(a) Font: Times New Roman
(b) Line spacing: 1.5 Pts
(c) Standard International A4 Size Page
(d) Paragraph: Justified
(e) Font Size: 12 Pts

6. Format of Footnotes:

(a) Font: Times New Roman
(b) Line Spacing: 1 Pts
(c) Paragraph: Justified
(d) Font Size: 10 Pts

7.The language of the competition shall be English.

8. Quotations from sources outside of the memorial of Fifty (50) words or more in any part of the Memorial shall be block quoted (i.e., right and left indented) and must be single-spaced.

9. The Memorial shall not contain details identifying the participants or their institution.

10. The Petitioner and Respondent memorials must be differentiated by **BLUE** and **RED** colour cover pages, respectively.

11.Each Memorial shall have the following information on its cover page:

a. The Team Code on the upper right corner of the cover page.

b. The name and place of the Forum.

c. The relevant legal provision invoking the jurisdiction.

d. Name of the parties and their status and on whose behalf the Memorial is prepared

12.Memorials for both sides should contain the following in order:

(a) Title Page

(b) Table of Contents

(c) Table of Abbreviations.

(d) Index of Authorities

(e) Statement of Jurisdiction

(f) Statement of Facts

(g) Statement of Issues

(h) Summary of Arguments/Pleadings

(i) Arguments Advanced

(j) Prayer

13. The Memorial shall not exceed more than forty (40) pages.

The following contents are included within the stipulated page limit:

(a) Pleadings

(b) Conclusions

(c) Annexures, if any

(d) Appendices and Footnotes

14.The file names of the electronic copies of the Memorials shall contain only the Team Code and the side being represented, in the following format: for example, for Team Code 15, the file names shall be MGMCC-15P or MGMCC-15R, where "P" denotes the Petitioner Memorial and "R" denotes the Respondent Memorial, and so forth.

14. Any delay in submission of memorials, use of incorrect font or font size, inconsistent formatting, improper line spacing, failure to include all mandatory components, inclusion of unpermitted sections, presentation of substantive legal arguments beyond the prescribed sections, improper formatting of the index of authorities, exceeding the prescribed page or word limit, omission of required information on the memorial cover, or inclusion of any identifying mark, character, or text shall attract penalties in accordance with the rules of the Competition.

ARTICLE 6: ASSESSMENT OF MEMORIAL

1. A Committee of Judges shall assess the Memorials. Every memorial shall be marked out of one hundred (100) marks, and the total memorial score shall be the sum of both sides (Petitioner/Respondent).
2. The Marking Criteria and the Marks Allocated to each category are as listed below:

SERIAL NUMBERS	CATEGORY	POINTS
1	Knowledge of facts and law	MAX:20pts
2	Proper & Articulate analysis	MAX:20pts
3	Extend & Use of research	MAX:20pts
4	Clarity and organisation	MAX:20pts
5	Citation of sourcing	MAX:10pts
6	Grammar and style	MAX:10pts

ARTICLE 7: COMPENDIUM

1. Submission of a compendium is not mandatory; however, if the team prefer to do so, they may submit it to the Court Officers before the commencement of the session.

2. The compendium shall not contain any visible identification marks. For instance, judgments downloaded from institutionally subscribe sources may display details of the participant or the institution. Such negligence shall not be condoned.

ARTICLE 8: ORAL ROUNDS

A. Preliminary Rounds

1. Each team shall have to argue twice, once as the Petitioner and once as the Respondent.

2. The maximum time allotted for oral submissions shall be thirty (30) minutes for each team. This time is inclusive of the submissions of both the speakers from the team and the time reserved for rebuttal/sur-rebuttal. No speaker shall reserve more than fifteen (15) minutes for their individual oral submissions.

3. No team shall be allowed more than five (5) minutes for rebuttal or sur-rebuttal. The sur-rebuttal shall be limited to the rebuttals made by the opponent team. Only one (1) speaker from each team shall be permitted to rebut/sur-rebut.

B. Quarter-final Rounds

1. Selection from the Preliminary Rounds shall be based on league-cum-win method, and the selected eight (8) teams shall qualify for the Quarter-final Rounds.

2. The maximum time allotted for oral submissions shall be thirty (30) minutes for each team. This time is inclusive of the submissions of both the speakers from the team and the time reserved for rebuttal/sur-rebuttal. No speaker shall reserve more than fifteen (15) minutes for their individual oral submissions.

3. No team shall be allowed more than five (5) minutes for rebuttal or sur-rebuttal. The sur-rebuttal shall be limited to the rebuttals made by the opponent team. Only one (1) speaker from each team shall be permitted to rebut/sur-rebut

C. Semi-final Rounds

1.The four (4) teams winning the Quarter-final Rounds will qualify for the Semi-final Rounds.

2.The maximum time allotted for oral submissions shall be forty-five (45) minutes for each team. This time is inclusive of the submissions of both the speakers from the team and the time reserved for rebuttal/sur-rebuttal. No speaker shall reserve more than twenty-five (25) minutes for their individual oral submissions

3. No team shall be allowed more than five (5) minutes for rebuttal or sur-rebuttal. The sur-rebuttal shall be limited to the rebuttals made by the opponent team. Only one (1) speaker from each team shall be permitted to rebut/sur-rebut.

D. Final Rounds

1. The two (2) teams winning the Semi-final Rounds will qualify for the Final round.

2. The maximum time allotted for oral submissions shall be forty-five (45) minutes for each team. This time is inclusive of the submissions of both the speakers from the team and the time reserved for rebuttal/sur-rebuttal. No speaker shall reserve more than twenty-five (25) minutes for their individual oral submissions.

3. No team shall be allowed more than five (5) minutes for rebuttal or sur-rebuttal. The sur-rebuttal shall be limited to the rebuttals made by the opponent team. Only one (1) speaker from each team shall be permitted to rebut/sur-rebut

ARTICLE 9: MARKING CRITERIA FOR ORAL PRESENTATION

1.The judges would assign marks to each speaker out of a hundred (100) marks. The team score would be the aggregate of the total marks for oral presentations of the 2 speakers out of two hundred (200) marks.

ARTICLE 10: CLARIFICATION

The last date for seeking clarifications regarding the Moot proposition is November 22nd, 2026.

Clarifications may be sought at: mgclmoot2027@mgcl.ac.in

ARTICLE 11:RESEARCHER'S INTERVIEW

1. A Researcher's interview shall be conducted on 14th January 2027 for the teams qualifying after the Memorial Selection Rounds to assess the research skills of the designated
team researchers, and only researchers from such qualifying teams shall be eligible to participate in the test.
2.The interview round will evaluate the researchers' subject knowledge, analytical thinking, and articulation of the subject.
3. The scores obtained by this interview will be considered for determining the Best Researcher.
4. The Researcher's Interview for qualifying teams will be conducted offline at the competition venue.
5. Comprehensive details regarding the Interview format, syllabus, evaluation process, etc., will be provided to the qualifying teams in advance.

ARTICLE 12: CODE OF CONDUCT

A. Court Manners:

1. The language for the competition shall be English to maintain uniformity.
2. All participants are required to maintain proper decorum in the court throughout the competition and conduct themselves in a manner befitting the standards and dignity of the legal profession.
3. Communication of any form between the participants and any person, other than the court officers and judges on the bench, is strictly prohibited. Any such communication will result in disqualification or penalty. Failure to appear in allotted courtrooms at the scheduled time shall result in a penalty or disqualification.
4. Prohibition on Scouting: Participants, reserves, and any individuals affiliated with a team are strictly prohibited from observing rounds in which their team is not competing, for as long as their team remains in the Competition. Any violation of this rule shall result in immediate disqualification of the team.

B. Dress Code

All participants must adhere to the formal dress code during the competition rounds. The dress code mandates White Shirt, Black Trousers, and Black Blazer for men; White Salwar Kurta or Black Trousers/ Skirt and White Shirt with Black Blazer for women.

ARTICLE 13: AWARDS

The Winning Team and the Runner-Up Team shall be awarded trophies, cash prizes, and certificates in recognition of their outstanding performance. Additional awards shall be conferred for Best Memorial, Best Male Advocate, Best Female Advocate and Best Researcher, based on the evaluation conducted during the Competition. Participation Certificates will be issued to all participating teams.

ARTICLE 14: DISPUTES

1.It shall be the discretion of the Organising Committee to decide on any violation of the provisions of the Rules and Regulations during the rounds and whether that violation entails a penalty. If a participating team, Judge, or Court Officer believes there has been a violation of the provisions, they must inform the Organising Committee of their claim. The Organising Committee shall review the merits of each claim and provide direction to judges on how to factor any verified violations in their scoring.

2.Any dispute regarding the conduct of the 4th Mar Gregorios National Moot Court Competition shall be referred to the organising committee before the end of the competition. In all matters of complaints or disputes, the decision of the organising committee shall be final.

ARTICLE 15: ACCOMMODATION

Accommodation will be arranged for all teams from January 14th to 16th, 2027. Interested teams are requested to indicate their accommodation requirements to the Organising Committee well in advance to enable arrangements via the Final Registration Form.

ARTICLE 16: MISCELLANEOUS

1.Cheating, misconduct, or using unfair means of any kind is strictly prohibited and, if indulged in, shall result in the disqualification of the team.

2.The participants are required to comply with the rules formulated by the Organizing Committee at all times during the Competition.

3.If any one of the members of a team is informed of any detail or information concerning the Competition, it shall be deemed as if the said team as a whole has been duly informed.

4.The Moot Proposition is not meant to resemble any incident or person, alive or dead. Any resemblance between the two is merely coincidental.

PROPOSITION

1. Dakshin Pradesh is a state in the Republic of Sindia, which is an independent country situated in Asia. Sindia being a country with a long civilisational history, it has numerous ethnic and religious groups as part of its population. The majority of the population are Hindus, followed by Sikhs and Muslims. Abhay was an ACCA professional working in Rohilla, a city in Zanskar district of Dakshin Pradesh. He grew up in a small nuclear family consisting of his father, Vikram, his mother, Anila, and his elder brother. Vikram is a 72-year-old retired private sector employee, with meagre savings, who also cares for Abhay's sibling, who is a 40-year-old man afflicted by cerebral palsy. Anila passed away on account of pandemic related illness in 2021.
2. In 2017, Abhay was working in Dubai when he had purchased some land in Zanskar district, outside the city limits of Rohilla. Since Abhay was not in India at the time of the purchase, the land was purchased in the name of Vikram, and on the same land Abhay had constructed a one storeyed house with the support of his father.
3. In 2019, Abhay got married to Anjana under the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955. Following his marriage to Anjana, he renovated the house in 2019 and made it a two-storeyed house with the financial support provided by his father-in-law. The first floor of the house was constructed in such a way that it can be used as an independent unit when found necessary. Anjana never stayed in that house except for visiting the house every six months. After marriage, Abhay and Anjana had lived together in an apartment in Rohilla during which time a daughter, Ishila was born to them in 2020. Consequent to her pregnancy, Anjana started facing numerous health issues including becoming severely diabetic. In June 2024, Anjana moved to the state of Balapur for her well-paying work as a software engineer. Balapur is situated around 200 kms away from Dakshin Pradesh.

4. During this time period, after Anjana moved to Balapur, the matrimonial relationship between Anjana and Abhay soured. They had constant disagreements over the fact that each of them had differing expectations about their marriage, as well as the additional strain occasioned by the need to care for a father, disabled sibling and a young child. Abhay accused Anjana of being unsupportive of his responsibilities, of not sharing the financial burden of the house, and being mercurial and moody.
5. Anjana in return contended that her limitations were occasioned by her poor health and the constant hostility of her marital family to her choices and opinions. This led to Abhay filing a divorce petition before the Family Court of Zanskar under Section 13(1)(ia) and (ib) of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955. During this period, Anjana filed a petition for maintenance and custody of child. When Anjana had moved to Balapur, Ishila had turned 4 years old, and due to the lack of good educational facilities in Balapur, she was enrolled in a school in Rohilla under the care of Abhay. Also, Abhay had by then moved out of the apartment which he had occupied with Anjana and begun living with his father and brother in the independent house that was constructed in 2017.
6. During the pendency of both the proceedings, Anjana filed a petition before the Judicial First Class Magistrate, Zanskar under Section 17 and 19 of the Domestic Violence Act, 2005 (hereinafter, "the Domestic Violence Act") claiming right to reside in the house that Abhay was residing in along with his father and brother, and seeking a direction for the removal of Abhay and his father and brother from the property. She also filed a petition for interim relief under Section 19 which was granted. Thus, she obtained the right to residence in the property to the exclusion of Abhay, Vikram and his disabled son, until the culmination of the case. Soon after she obtained the interim relief, Abhay passed away under tragic circumstances on account of a road accident.

7. After Anjana obtained this interim order, Vikram submitted an application before the Maintenance Tribunal under the Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Act, 2007, seeking the eviction of Anjana from the residential property. He contended that, as the lawful owner and a senior citizen, he was entitled to protection against harassment and to live with dignity and security in his own home.
8. The Maintenance Tribunal allowed Vikram's application and directed Anjana to vacate the premises within thirty days. The authority reasoned that the property belonged exclusively to a senior citizen and that the Domestic Violence Act could not be interpreted to compel an elderly parent to accommodate his daughter-in-law indefinitely against his wishes.
9. Anjana challenged the eviction order before the High Court of Dakshin Pradesh. She argued that the authority had failed to harmonise the two welfare statutes and had not adequately considered her claim that the property constituted a shared household. She also contended that the Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Act, 2007 does not have provisions for the passing of eviction orders and that she was not heard on the matter. The High Court dismissed the petition, holding that the authority had acted within its jurisdiction and that Anjana's remedies under the Domestic Violence Act could be pursued separately.
10. Aggrieved by the Order of the Hon’ble High Court of Dakshin Pradesh and the refusal to issue a certificate of appeal, Anjana approached the Supreme Court of Sindia by way of a Special Leave Petition under Article 136 of the Constitution of Sindia, impleading Vikram and the State of Dakshin Pradesh. She alleged that the impugned eviction order threatened her right to life with dignity under Article 21 and violated the constitutional requirement of equality and the equal protection of the law.

11. Her main submission is that that the statutory protection available to senior citizens cannot be enforced in a manner that nullifies a woman's right to seek protection and residence under the Domestic Violence Act, particularly when allegations of domestic violence remain unresolved.
12. The Supreme Court of Sindia is called upon to determine the extent of the Maintenance Tribunal's powers, the scope of a daughter-in-law's right of residence, and the appropriate procedure for resolving conflicting statutory claim.
13. The following issues have been framed by the Supreme Court of Sindia for consideration:
    a. Whether the Special Leave Petition filed before the Supreme Court of Sindia is maintainable?
    b. Whether the Maintenance Tribunal has the authority to order eviction of a daughter-in-law from the residential property owned by the father-in law?
    c. Whether the State's obligation to protect senior citizens under the Senior Citizens Act, 2007, can be reconciled with its obligation to protect women from domestic violence under the Domestic Violence Act, 2005, consistent with constitutional principles on equality and the right to life?

NOTE:

The laws and the Constitution of Sindia are in *pari materia* with the laws and the Constitution of India.

Proposition created by:

Faculty Coordinators

Moot Court Society,

Mar Gregorios College of Law

IMPORTANT LINKS

Preliminary Registration

https://docs.google.com/forms/d/e/1FAIpQLSeyecytN-5052CWylDJak0IO1ZqYXNUNsnrpT8UxQs1cqn6KQ/viewform?usp=dialog

Online Payment

https://feebook.southindianbank.bank.in/FeeBookUser/userhome

Memorial submission

mcsmoot2027@mgcl.ac.in

ORGANISING COMMITTEE

His Beatitude Moran Mor Baselios Cardinal Cleemis Catholicos

Major Archbishop-Catholics of the Malankara Catholic Church Patron & Manager, Mar Gregorios College of Law, Thiruvananthapuram

President, Malankara Catholic Educational Society of the Archdiocese of Thiruvananthapuram

Rev. Fr. Adarsh Kumblathu
Director

Prof. (Dr.) R Bijukumar
Principal

Prof. Ushakumari K G
Vice-Principal (Administration)

Prof. Ramachandran Nair
Vice-Principal (Academics)

Dr. Meera Mathew
Head of Department (Law)

FACULTY COORDINATORS

Prof. Manoj Krishna A

Ms. Sushma George Mathew
+91 9895148315

Dr. Shazila Shajahan
+91 89217 40257

Ms. Arya Rajan J R

STUDENT COORDINATORS

Ms. Jia Jose
President, MCS
+91 79027 75297

Ms. Bhadra Manoharan
Vice-President, MCS
+91 81569 59721

Ms. Ann Gregory
Secretary, MCS
+91 80758 64548

Ms. Nasreen Alipparambil
Internal Competitions Coordinator, MCS

Ms. Aiswarya C A
External Competitions Coordinator, MCS

Ms. Gopika S
Training Competitions Coordinator, MCS